### EAST CASEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT Liberty, Kentucky

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2015

#### CONTENTS

Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Position	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position	4
Statement of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6-13
Required Supplementary Information Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	14
Schedule of Contributions	15
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	16-18



#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Commissioners East Casey County Water District Falmouth, Kentucky

#### Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the East Casey County Water District (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the East Casey County Water District, as of December 31, 2015, and the changes in financial position, and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matters

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the pension schedules on pages 14-15 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We and other auditors have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Management has omitted the Management Discussion and Analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 20, 2016, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.



#### EAST CASEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET POSITION December 31, 2015

ASSETS		2015
Current assets Cash and cash equivalents	\$	762,778
Investments - certificates of deposit	φ	850,005
Customer accounts receivable, net		132,237
Accrued interest receivable		734
Restricted assets	_	1,745,754
Cash and cash equivalents		38,630
Capital assets		
Land		87,044
Property, plant and equipment, net		12,798,713
Construction-in-progress		390,690
		12 276 447
		13,276,447
Total assets		15,060,831
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Deferred outflows - pension		64,395
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$	15,125,226
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	80,907
Taxes payable		10,014
Construction payable		32,651
Customer deposits payable Accrued interest payable		29,975 7,417
Current portion of long-term debt		153,977
ourient portion or long-term debt		100,911
		314,941
Noncurrent liabilities		447.500
Net pension liability KIA Revolving Loan Payable		417,568 897,761
Bonds payable		2,783,446
Donas payable		
		4,098,775
Total liabilities		4,413,716
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Deferred inflows - pension		1,572
NET POSITION		0.441.262
Net investment in capital assets Restricted for reserves		9,441,263 38,630
Unrestricted		1,230,045
		.,200,010
		10,709,938
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and net position	\$	15,125,226

## EAST CASEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION

#### for the year ended December 31, 2015

		2015
OPERATING INCOME  Water sales	\$	1,637,521
Late charges	Φ	43,588
Other revenue		20,310
	_	
Total operating income		1,701,419
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Water purchases		653,539
Salaries		237,602
Employee benefits		129,950
Taxes		19,297
Office expense & postage		39,407
Insurance		31,624
Transportation expense		24,747
Line upkeep		64,700
Contract labor		139,497
Utilities & telephone		85,888
Legal & professional		14,871
Miscellaneous		18,595
Regulatory commission expenses		3,164
Total operating expense		1,462,881
Operating income before depreciation		238,538
Depreciation expense		(317,915)
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)		(79,377)
Non-operating income (expenses)		
Interest income		6,750
Interest expense		(106,303)
Bond issue costs		(8,081)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS		(187,011)
Capital Contributions		
Principal forgiveness		127,064
Tap fees		35,240
Other		14,696
Change in net position		(10,011)
Net position, beginning of year, as restated		10,719,949
NET POSITION, END OF YEAR	<u>\$</u>	10,709,938

### EAST CASEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

for the year ended December 31, 2015

		2015
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	•	4 700 070
Receipts from customers	\$	1,702,373
Payment to suppliers Payment for employee services and benefits		(982,703) (489,750)
r ayment for employee services and benefits		(409,730)
Net cash provided by operating activities		229,920
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Principal payments		(98,196)
Proceeds from new debt issue		368,039
Interest payments		(102,415)
Bond issue costs		(8,081)
Additions to property, plant and equipment		(594,641)
Tap fees		35,240
Other capital contributions		14,696
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	_	(385,358)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Investment in certificates of deposit		(3,178)
Interest income		6,820
Net cash provided by investing activities		3,642
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH		(151,796)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		953,204
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR	\$	801,408
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash		
provided (used) by operating activities:		
Operating income (loss)	\$	(79,377)
Noncash items included in operating income		0.17.015
Depreciation		317,915
Changes in assets and liabilities		054
(Increase) decrease in receivables Increase (decrease) in accounts payable		954 (26,454)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable  Increase (decrease) in customer deposits		(800)
Increase (decrease) in red pension liability		17,299
Increase (decrease) in taxes/other payables		383
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	229,920
Net dust provided by operating activities	Ψ	223,320

#### 1. ORGANIZATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The East Casey County Water District was created and organized as a public body corporate in Casey County, Kentucky, pursuant to Chapter 74 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes, by the Casey County Fiscal Court to operate a water distribution system. The District is regulated by the Kentucky Public Service Commission.

The net position of the District is classified into three categories; net investment in capital assets, restricted and unrestricted. The restricted net position consist of reserves for bond retirement and depreciation costs relating to the construction, replacement, extension, additions and/or improvements to the system. These reserves are discussed in note 4.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the District defines cash and cash equivalents to include cash on hand, cash in bank and certificates of deposit with original maturities of 90 days or less.

The District records revenue as billed to its customers on monthly meter reading cycles. At the end of each year, water service which has been rendered from the latest date of each meter reading to the year end is unbilled.

The District reports all revenues and expenses as operating, except interest income, interest expense, amortization, and capital contributions.

Fixed assets are recorded at cost. Donated assets are valued at their fair market value on the date of donation. Interest incurred during the period of construction is capitalized as a part of the construction costs. Depreciation has been provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

The allowance for uncollectible accounts at December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$517,911 and \$474,250, respectively. The District charges all uncollected accounts directly against current earnings. Charges against earnings for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$43,661 and \$71,467, respectively.

Investments consist of certificates of deposit with original maturities of 90 days or longer. Investments are recorded at cost, which approximates fair value.

The District has evaluated and considered the need to recognize or disclose subsequent events through June 20, 2016, which represents the date that these financial statements were available for issuance. Subsequent events past this date, as they pertain to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, have not been evaluated by the District.

#### 2. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

#### **Statutory Requirements**

The East Casey County Water District deposits at December 31, 2015 were entirely covered by Federal Depository Insurance or by collateral held by the custodial banks in the District's name. The bank balances of the District's deposits were \$1,653,302 at December 31, 2015.

Kentucky Revised Statutes authorize local governmental units to invest in obligations of the United States and its agencies, obligations of the Commonwealth of Kentucky and its agencies, shares in savings and loan associations insured by federal agencies, deposits in national or state chartered banks insured by federal agencies and larger amounts in such institutions providing such banks pledge as security obligations of the United States government or its agencies.

#### **Statement of Cash Flows**

The Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2015, includes as cash and cash equivalents the following:

Cash	\$ 762,778
Restricted Cash	 38,630
	\$ 801 408

#### 3. PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of capital asset activity during the year follows:

	Balance 12/31/14	Additions	Deductions	Balance 12/31/15
Capital assets not depreciated: Land Construction in Progress	\$ 82,544 	\$ 4,500 390,690	\$ - -	\$ 87,044 390,690
Totals	82,544	395,190		477,734
Capital assets that are depreciated: Personal Property & Equipment	18,427,733	204,102		18,631,835
Less Accumulated Depreciation	5,515,207	317,915		5,833,122
Totals	12,912,526	(113,813)		12,798,713
Capital Assets, net	<u>\$ 12,995,070</u>	<u>\$ 281,377</u>	<u>\$</u> _	<u>\$ 13,276,447</u>

#### 4. LONG-TERM DEBT

In December 2014, the Kentucky Bond Corporation issued Series 2014E Revenue Bonds for the District in order to refund all prior outstanding bonds. This issue contained a premium in the amount of \$30,552, which has been reported with bonds payable and is being amortized over the term of the debt. The new issue will reduce debt service payments by \$753,224 and has a net economic gain of \$149,472. This issue requires annual premium payments beginning in February 2016, ranging from \$105,000 to \$145,000 and bears interest at an average rate of 3.63%.

4. LONG-TERM DEBT (CONTINUED)

The District has obtained a Federally Assisted Drinking Water Revolving Loan from the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority in order to fund the Various Waterline Extensions Project. The District is taking draws on the loan as the project progresses. During 2015, the District obtained draws totaling \$363,039, in order to fund project expenses. As part of the loan agreement, the District is entitled to principal forgiveness in the amount of 35%. Due to this provision, the District has recognized \$127,064 of principal forgiveness in current year operations; this amount represents 35% of the draws obtained during 2015. At project completion in 2016, final loan and forgiveness amounts totaled \$980,273 and \$343,095, respectively. Beginning in 2016, the loan will have a stated interest rate of 1% to be repaid over 20 years.

The annual requirements to amortize all long-term debt outstanding as of December 31, 2015, are as follows:

Year Ending	F	Principal		Interest		Fees		Payment
2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021-2025	\$	153,977 160,663 161,542 162,008 171,646 908,384	\$	99,887 97,329 94,575 91,809 87,983 375,269	\$	10,082 9,705 9,303 8,899 8,493 35,873	\$	263,946 267,697 265,420 262,716 268,122 1,319,526
2026-2030 2031-2035 2036-2040		938,811 808,867 340,007		256,987 134,726 25,406 1,263,971		24,168 12,810 3,081		1,219,966 993,848 368,494 5,192,290
Net pension liability Premium on Refunding	<u> </u>	417,568 29,279 4,252,752	\$	1,263,971	\$	122,414	\$	5,639,137

The following is a summary of long term debt for the year ended December 31, 2015:

	Interest Rate	Balance uary 1, 2015	Issued	Pa	rincipal syments giveness)	Balance ember 31, 2015
KY Bond Corporation Premium on Refunding Net pension liability KIA Revolving Loan	3.63% - - 1.00%	\$ 2,960,000 30,552 337,446 706,853	\$ 80,122 363,039	\$	96,923 1,273 - 127,064	\$ 2,863,077 29,279 417,568 942,828
		\$ 4,034,851	\$ 448,161	\$	225,260	\$ 4,252,752

#### 4. LONG-TERM DEBT (CONTINUED)

**Bond and Interest Reserve** - Per the assistance agreement with KIA, the District is required to maintain a depreciation reserve in the amount of \$38,630. The District met this requirement at December 31, 2015. Per the ordinances authorizing the Kentucky Bond Corporation First Series 2014E Revenue Bonds, the District is required to establish and contribute towards a sinking fund. The District met this requirement at December 31, 2015. Transfers to the sinking fund are reported as interest expense and principal reduction. Future debt obligations shown on the schedule reflect future transfers from the sinking fund to the bond holder.

#### 5. RETIREMENT PLAN

The East Casey County Water District is a participating employer of the County Employees' Retirement System (CERS). Under the provisions of Kentucky Revised Statute 61.645, the Board of Trustees of Kentucky Retirement Systems administers the CERS. The plan issues publicly available financial statements which may be downloaded from the Kentucky Retirement Systems website.

Plan Description – CERS is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that covers substantially all regular full-time members employed in positions of each participating county, city, and school board, and any additional eligible local agencies electing to participate in the System. The plan provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members. Retirement benefits may be extended to beneficiaries of plan members under certain circumstances. Cost-of-living (COLA) adjustments are provided at the discretion of state legislature.

Contributions – For the year ended December 31, 2015, plan members were required to contribute 5.00% of wages for non-hazardous job classifications. Employees hired after September 2008 are required to contribute an additional 1% to cover the cost of medical insurance that is proved through CERS. Participating employers were required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. Per Kentucky Revised Statute Section 78.5455(33), normal contribution and past service contribution rates shall be determined by the Board on the basis of an annual valuation last proceeding the July 1 of a new biennium. The Board may amend contribution rates as of the first day of July of the second year of a biennium, if it is determined on the basis of a subsequent actuarial valuation that amended contribution rates are necessary to satisfy requirements determined in accordance with actuarial basis adopted by the Board. For the year ended December 31, 2015, participating employers contributed 17.67% through June 30 and 17.06% thereafter, of each non-hazardous employee's wages, which is equal to the actuarially determined rate set by the Board. Administrative costs of Kentucky Retirement System are financed through employer contributions and investment earnings.

Plan members who began participating on, or after, January 1, 2014, were required to contribute to the Cash Balance Plan. The Cash Balance Plan is known as a hybrid plan because it has characteristics of both a defined benefit plan and a defined contribution plan. Members in the plan contribute a set percentage of their salary each month to their own account. Plan members contribute 5% of wages to their own account and 1% to the health insurance fund. The employer contribution rate is set annually by the Board based on an actuarial valuation. The employer contributes a set percentage of each member's salary. Each month, when employer contributions are received, an employer pay credit is deposited to the member's account. For non-hazardous members, their account is credited with a 4% employer pay credit. The employer pay credit represents a portion of the employer contribution.

#### 5. RETIREMENT PLAN (CONTINUED)

The District contributed \$41,004 for the year ended December 31, 2015, or 100% of the required contribution. The contribution was allocated \$29,587 to the CERS pension fund and \$11,417 to the CERS insurance fund.

Benefits - CERS provides retirement, health insurance, death and disability benefits to Plan employees and beneficiaries. Employees are vested in the plan after five years' service.

For retirement purposes, employees are grouped into three tiers based on hire date:

Tier 1 Participation date Before September 1, 2008 Unreduced retirement 27 years service or 65 years old Reduced retirement At least 5 years service and 55 years old 25 years service and any age At least

Tier 2 Participation date September 1, 2008 - December 31, 2013 Unreduced retirement At least 5 years service and 65 years old

or age 57+ and sum of service years plus age equal 87

Reduced retirement At least 10 years service and 60 years old

Tier 3 Participation date After December 31, 2013

> Unreduced retirement At least 5 years service and 65 years old

> > or age 57+ and sum of service years plus age equal 87

Reduced retirement Not available

Cost of living adjustments are provided at the discretion of the General Assembly. Retirement is based on a factor of the number of years' service and hire date multiplied by the average of the highest five years' earnings. Reduced benefits are based on factors of both of these components. Participating employees become eligible to receive the health insurance benefit after at least 180 months of service. Death benefits are provided for both death after retirement and death prior to retirement. Death benefits after retirement are \$5,000 in lump sum. Five years' service is required for death benefits prior to retirement and the employee must have suffered a duty-related death. The decedent's beneficiary will receive the higher of the normal death benefit and \$10,000 plus 25% of the decedent's monthly final rate of pay and any dependent child will receive 10% of the decedent's monthly final rate of pay up to 40% for all dependent children. Five years' service is required for nonservice-related disability benefits.

Pension Liabilities, Expense, Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources – At December 31, 2015, the District reported a liability of \$417,568 or its proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2015, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The District proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's longterm share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2015, the District's proportion was .00971 percent, which was a decrease of 0.00006 from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2014.

#### 5. RETIREMENT PLAN (CONTINUED)

For the year ended December 31, 2015, the District recognized pension expense of \$46,354. At December 31, 2015, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Out	eferred tflows of sources	Infl	ferred ows of ources
Differences between expected and actual results Changes of assumptions Net difference between projected and actual earnings on Plan	\$	3,470 42,107	\$	-
investments Changes in proportion and differences between Company		3,743		-
contributions and proportionate share of contributions Company contributions subsequent to the measurement date		15,07 <u>5</u>		1,572 
Total	\$	64,395	\$	1,572

The \$15,075 of deferred outflows of resources resulting from the District's contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ending December 31, 2016. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

\$ 16,280
16,280
7,690
7,498
\$

Actuarial Assumptions – The total pension liability in the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Inflation	3.25%
-----------	-------

Salary increases 4.00%, average, including inflation

Investment rate of return 7.50%, net of Plan investment expense, including inflation

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (multiplied by 50% for males and 30% for females). For healthy retired members and beneficiaries, the mortality table used is the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 1 year for females). For disabled members, the RP- 2000 Combined Disabled Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 4 years for males) is used for the period after disability retirement.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2015 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2013.

#### 5. RETIREMENT PLAN (CONTINUED)

The long-term expected return on plan assets is reviewed as part of the regular experience studies prepared every five years. Several factors are considered in evaluating the long-term rate of return assumptions including long-term historical data, estimates inherent in current market data, and a lognormal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected return, net of investment expense and inflation) were developed by the investment consultant for each major asset class. These ranges were combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and then adding expected inflation. The capital market assumptions developed by the investment consultant are intended for use over a 10-year horizon and may not be useful in setting the long-term rate of return for funding pension plans which covers a longer time frame. The assumption is intended to be a long-term assumption and is not expected to change absent a significant change in the asset allocation, a change in the inflation assumption, or a fundamental change in the market that alters expected returns in future years.

The target allocation and best estimates of nominal real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

Accet Class	Target Allocation	Long-term Nominal Real Rate of Return
Asset Class		
Combined Equity	44%	5.4%
Combined Fixed Income	19%	1.5%
Real Return (Diversified Inflation		
Strategies)	10%	3.5%
Real Estate	5%	4.5%
Absolute Return (Diversified		
Hedge Funds)	10%	4.25%
Private Equity	10%	8.5%
Cash Equivalent	<u>2%</u>	25%
Total	100%	

Discount Rate – The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that local employers would contribute the actuarially determined contribution rate of projected compensation over the remaining 28 year amortization period of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The actuarial determined contribution rate is adjusted to reflect the phase in of anticipated gains on actuarial value of assets over the first four years of the projection period. The discount rate does not use a municipal bond rate

Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate – The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.50 percent, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.50 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.50 percent) than the current rate:

#### 5. RETIREMENT PLAN (CONTINUED)

	Discount rate	District's proportionate share of net pension liability			
1% decrease	6.50%	\$	533,086		
Current discount rate	7.50%	\$	417,568		
1% increase	8.50%	\$	318,649		

Payable to the Pension Plan – At December 31, 2015, the District reported a payable of \$4,325 for the outstanding amount of contributions to the pension plan required for the year ended December 31, 2015. The payable includes both the pension and insurance contribution allocation.

#### 6. RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. In addition to its general liability insurance, the district also carries commercial insurance for all other risks of loss such as worker's compensation and employee health and accident coverage. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

#### 7. CONTINGENCIES

The District had elected to be recognized as a reimbursing employer for state unemployment compensation purposes. Accordingly, the District will become liable for direct payment of unemployment benefits as they become due.


#### 8. RESTATEMENT OF NET POSITION

Implementation of new accounting standard GASB Statement No. 68

During 2015 the District implemented GASB Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions, which addresses financial reporting for state and local government employers whose employees are provided with pensions through pension plans that are covered under Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans.

The guidance contained in Statement 68 changed how governments calculate and report the costs and obligations associated with pensions. Under the new standards GASB requires that cost-sharing governments report a net pension liability, pension expense, and pension related deferred inflows and outflows of resources based on their proportionate share of the collective amounts for all the governments in the plan. In addition, GASB requires Statement 68 to be applied retroactively, which has resulted in a restatement of beginning net position as follows:

Net position, at beginning of year Beginning net pension liability	\$  11,057,395 (337,446)
Net position, at beginning of year, as restated	\$ 10,719,949



# EAST CASEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY Last Two Fiscal Years

	2015	2014
Company's proportion of the net pension liability	0.00971%	0.00977%
Company's proportionate share of the net pension		
liability (asset)	\$ 417,568	\$ 317,000
Company's covered employee payroll	\$ 236,962	\$ 224,349
Company's share of the net pension liability (asset) as a		
percentage of its covered employee payroll	176.22%	141.30%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage		
of the total pension liability	59.97%	66.80%

#### Notes:

There were no changes in benefit terms. However, the following changes in assumptions were modified as of the June 30, 2015 valuation:

The assumed investment rate of return was decreased from 7.75% to 7.5%.

The assumed rate of inflation was reduced from 3.5% to 3.25%.

The assumed rate of wage inflation was reduced from 1% to .75%.

Payroll growth assumption was reduced from 4.5% to 4%.

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (multiplied by 50% for males and 30% for females).

For healthy retired members and beneficiaries, the mortality table used is the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 1 year for females).

For disabled members, the RP- 2000 Combined Disabled Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 4 years for males) is used for the period after disability retirement.

The assumed rates of retirement, withdrawal, and disability were updated to more accurately reflect experience.

# EAST CASEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY Last Three Fiscal Years

\_\_\_\_

		2015		2014		2013
Contractually required employer contribution	\$	29,587	\$	29,813	\$	27,976
Contributions relative to contractually required employer contribution	\$	29,587	\$	29,813	\$	27,976
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$</u>	<del>-</del>	<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>	
Company's covered employee payroll Employer contributions as a percentage	\$	236,962	\$	224,349	\$	225,527
of covered-employee payroll		12.49%		13.29%		12.40%

#### Notes:

There were no changes in benefit terms. However, the following changes in assumptions were modified as of the June 30, 2015 valuation:

The assumed investment rate of return was decreased from 7.75% to 7.5%.

The assumed rate of inflation was reduced from 3.5% to 3.25%.

The assumed rate of wage inflation was reduced from 1% to .75%.

Payroll growth assumption was reduced from 4.5% to 4%.

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (multiplied by 50% for males and 30% for females).

For healthy retired members and beneficiaries, the mortality table used is the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 1 year for females).

For disabled members, the RP- 2000 Combined Disabled Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 4 years for males) is used for the period after disability retirement.

The assumed rates of retirement, withdrawal, and disability were updated to more accurately reflect experience.

Contractually required employer contributions exclude the portion of contributions paid to CERS but allocated to the insurance fund of the CERS. The above contributions only include those contributions allocated directly to the CERS pension fund.



## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Commissioners East Casey County Water District Liberty, Kentucky

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the East Casey County Water District (the "District") as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the East Casey County Water District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated June 20, 2016.

#### Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be a material weakness (2015-001).

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **East Casey County Water District's Response to Findings**

East Casey County Water District's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.



## EAST CASEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES December 31, 2015

#### FINDING:

2015-001

The District is required to have internal controls in place that enable it to prepare complete financial statements, including note disclosures, in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The District lacks personnel with the expertise to apply generally accepted accounting principles in preparing its financial statements including note disclosures and thus, does not have the internal control procedures required to draft the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Management engaged the auditor to prepare draft financial statements, including the related notes to the financial statements. Management reviewed, approved and accepted responsibility for the financial statements prior to their issuance.

We recommend management review the costs and benefits involved to retain a consultant with the required expertise to prepare the financial statements or review the financial statements as prepared by the auditor for compliance with generally accepted accounting principles.

#### **RESPONSE:**

This is an ongoing finding. Management has determined that it is more cost effective to continue to engage the auditor to draft the financial statements and related notes.